

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,583	02/14/2000	KOICHI ENDO	ENDO=12	5957
7	590 11/05/2002			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK 624 NINTH STREET NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			BERMAN, ALYSIA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Appli ant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/485,583	ENDO ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alysia Berman	1617			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	th correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this a : (1) a timely filed amendment peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in			
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of date on which the petition under 37 CI tension and the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply originally to the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply originally to the mail the corresponding amount the corresponding amount the corresponding amount the corresponding the correspond	date of the final rejection. F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	i because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);				
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)      will not be entered or b)      will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	VS:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-11 and 15-18</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-11 and 15-18</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-14 a	<u>nd 19-21</u> .				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
$9. \boxtimes$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s). <u>13</u> .			
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Wodendle			
		SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER			

11/2/2

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/485,583

Ulyain B

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not overcome the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. Applicant's argument that there is no motivation to combine the references has been addressed in the Final Office Action mailed May 14, 2002, paper no. 12. With respect to applicant's argument that Hukkanen et al. is non-analagous art, both Hukkanen et al. and Therapeutics are directed to the same art, pharmaceuticals, and are solving the same problem, bone mass maintenance. Applicant states that one of ordinary skill in the art would not reach a method for treating a bone resporption-associated disease by maintaining bone mass given the disclosure in Therapeutics that states that antiresorptive therapy cannot lead to substantial gain in bone mass taken with Hukkanen et al. and Moore et al. Maintaining bone mass and gaining bone mass are not equivalent. Therapeutics teaches that antiresorptive therapies act to maintain bone mass by inhibiting bone resorption, which is precisely the result desired by applicant.

Continuation of 10. Other: The information disclosure statement filed August 6, 2002 has not been considered because the fee was not paid as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d). See MPEP 609..

SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

2